

## **Nuclear Policy**

**Harris School Course #33510**  
Winter 2026

Keller 0007

Kennette Benedict, Ph.D., Lecturer

**E-mail:** [kbenedict@alumni.stanford.edu](mailto:kbenedict@alumni.stanford.edu)

**Office hours:** Tuesdays, 1-3 pm and by appointment.

**TAs:** Mike Nichols at [mike.nichols@chicagobooth.edu](mailto:mike.nichols@chicagobooth.edu)

Wayne Hartwig at [whartwig@uchicago.edu](mailto:whartwig@uchicago.edu)

### **Course Description**

“With the unleashing of atomic energy, everything has changed save our way of thinking, and thus we drift toward catastrophe beyond comprehension.” Albert Einstein made this observation in 1953, when the United States and the Soviet Union were pitted against each other in the most dangerous arms race in history with the potential, at its peak, to destroy human civilization and lay waste to the planet. At the same time, the United States and the Soviet Union were also developing peaceful uses of nuclear energy for life-saving medical treatments and for generating electricity. While issues arising from technologies that have both military uses and civilian applications are not new, the nearly incomprehensible damage from nuclear weapons focuses the mind as few other dual-use technologies can.

This course will review the development of nuclear energy for both military and civilian uses. We will examine plans for fighting and avoiding nuclear war, as well as the effects on societies of using nuclear weapons. We will briefly review the history of the international proliferation of nuclear technology and material and explore efforts to curtail the spread of weapons. The second part of the course focuses on the development of civilian nuclear power, its benefits and risks, and on efforts to reduce accidents and dispose of nuclear waste materials. Finally, we will consider the role of citizens in nuclear policymaking.

The course is organized around in-class lectures, readings, videos and podcasts (to be found on Canvas), and group discussions in a weekly three-hour format. Facilitated small group discussions are a central feature of the course, as well as weekly written reflections about those discussions. **One** written essay is required in response to a question posed by the instructor in the syllabus. Please see the syllabus for topics for essays and due dates.

## Course Readings

**Required** (Please purchase the following books.)

*Nuclear Weapons: A Very Short Introduction*, Joseph M. Siracusa (Oxford University Press, 2020, 3<sup>rd</sup> edition)

*Nuclear Choices for the Twenty-First Century: A Citizen's Guide*, Richard Wolfson and Ferenc Dalnoki-Veress (MIT Press, 2021)

*Hiroshima*, John Hersey (New York: Bantam Books, 1946, 1986)

*Voices from Chernobyl*, Svetlana Alexievich. Translated by Antonina W. Bouis (Arum Press, 1999)

### **Recommended**

*The Making of the Atomic Bomb*, Richard Rhodes (Simon and Schuster, 1986)

*The Bomb: Presidents, Generals, and the Secret History of Nuclear War*, Fred Kaplan (Simon and Schuster, 2020)

*Nuclear War: A Scenario*, Annie Jacobsen (Dutton, 2024)

All other course readings, articles, and materials listed in the syllabus are available on Canvas or through the University of Chicago online journals library.

### **Consult these sites for additional information and useful analysis:**

*Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists* at [www.thebulletin.org](http://www.thebulletin.org). Sign up for the electronic newsletter delivered to your inbox.

*Arms Control Today* at [www.armscontrol.org](http://www.armscontrol.org)

International Atomic Energy Agency at [www.iaea.org](http://www.iaea.org)

Nuclear Threat Initiative at <https://www.nti.org/analysis/articles/overview-of-the-nuclear-disarmament-resource-collection/>

*At the Brink* podcasts <https://atthebrink.org>

## Course Requirements

### **1. Participation in facilitated small group class discussions will count for 45% of your grade.**

Attending class sessions is required and preparing for weekly discussions is a priority. You are required to write and submit a one-page reflection after each week's discussion.

## **2. One essay will count for 50% of your grade.**

Each student will write **one** essay of 1,000 words (about four pages double-spaced) based on the readings and lectures in response to **one** of the dilemmas posed by the instructor (See Course Outline). If you choose the first essay prompt, it is **due on January 31**. If you choose the second essay prompt, it is **due on March 7**.

## **3. Meeting with the instructor in person or by zoom at least once during the quarter will count for 5% of your grade.**

The instructor will meet with each student in person or by zoom at a mutually convenient time once during the quarter to discuss essays, course material, and answer questions.

### **Grading**

**Class participation and small group discussions: 45%**

**Written essay: 50%**

**In-person or Zoom meeting with instructor: 5%**

### **ADA Student Accommodations**

Any student who believes they may need assistance should inform the Harris Dean of Students office by the end of the first week of class. The Dean of Students office will coordinate any student accommodations with Harris instructors.

### **Academic Dishonesty: Statement and Penalties**

All University of Chicago students are expected to uphold the highest standards of academic integrity and honesty. Among other things, this means that students shall not represent another's work as their own, use un-allowed materials during exams, or otherwise gain unfair academic advantage. All students suspected of academic dishonesty will be reported to the Harris Dean of Students for investigation and adjudication. The disciplinary process can result in sanctions up to and including suspension or expulsion from the University. In addition to disciplinary sanctions, I will impose a grade penalty of "F" for students who have committed academic dishonesty. The Harris policy and procedures related to academic integrity can be found at <https://harris.uchicago.edu/gateways/current-students-policies>. The University of Chicago Policy on Academic Honesty and Plagiarism can be found at <https://studentmanual.uchicago.edu/academic-policies/academic-honesty-plagiarism/>

### **Teaching and learning in person**

- This course is planned as an in-person experience, and students are required to attend class at the Keller Center. We will have an attendance sheet available at the start of the class that you must sign when you come to class. In addition, please display your name tent at every class so that I can more easily call on you by name. The Harris School now requires classroom attendance and attendance will count toward your final grade.

- Wearing face masks to prevent transmission of Covid-19 is optional. Please respect those who do wish to wear masks.

## **Classroom Electronics Policy**

**Use of phones and computer screens is prohibited** in this course. Phones and laptops will be placed in your pocket or bag and their use is not permitted during the class session.

There is evidence that use of electronics in the classroom has an adverse effect on learning. Taking written notes by hand is encouraged and will aid in retaining material. Exceptions can be made for students with a documented Student Disability Services accommodation. If you have questions, please see me.

## **Using Artificial Intelligence or Large Language Models**

This course places a premium on thinking through questions about the most destructive technology on Earth. It requires that you become familiar with the history of nuclear weapons, policies developed to prevent their use, and the moral consequences and ethical dilemmas that nuclear weapons and civilian nuclear power present. The facilitated class discussions, written reflections, and the required essay offer opportunities for students to think through these issues and to reflect on the readings, lectures, and class discussions over our nine weeks together. Therefore, use of tools like Chat GPT, Bard, and Llama 2, for example, are not compatible with the goals of the course, would interfere with student learning, and may limit students' understanding of the material. If you have questions about this approach, please contact me. If you would like help in crafting essays, please contact the Harris School Writing Workshop. <https://harris.uchicago.edu/student-life/dean-of-students-office/writing-workshop>

## **Video and Audio Recordings**

By attending course sessions, students acknowledge that:

- i. They will not:
  - (i) record, share, or disseminate University of Chicago course sessions, videos, transcripts, audio, or chats;
  - (ii) retain such materials after the end of the course; or
  - (iii) use such materials for any purpose other than in connection with participation in the course.
- ii. They will not share links to University of Chicago course sessions with any persons not authorized to be in the course session. Sharing course materials with persons authorized to be in the relevant course is permitted. Syllabi, handouts, slides, and other documents may be shared at the discretion of the instructor.
- iii. Course recordings, content, and materials may be covered by copyrights held by the University, the instructor, or third parties. Any unauthorized use of such recordings or course materials may violate such copyrights.
- iv. Any violation of this policy will be referred to the Area Dean of Students.

## Course Outline: Readings and Assignments

### Week 1: Introduction

Joseph M. Siracusa, *Nuclear Weapons: A Very Short Introduction*, chapters 1, 2, 3, 4

Report of the Committee on Political and Social Problems to the U.S. Secretary of War, June 1945 (Also known as the Franck Report) <https://sgp.fas.org/eprint/franck.html>

Richard Wolfson and Ferenc Dalnoki-Veress, *Nuclear Choices for the Twenty-First Century*, chapter 12.

For the basics of nuclear fission and radiation see chapters 2, 3, 4, 5

View the documentary *The Day After Trinity* by Jon Else

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FNbhfKeOO5k>

### **Recommended:**

“At the Brink,” New York Times Opinion piece,

<https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2024/10/10/opinion/nuclear-weapons-nytimes.html>

“The New Nuclear Age”, *Scientific American*, December 2023

<https://www.scientificamerican.com/report/the-new-nuclear-age/>

**Special note:** For the history of the origins of the atomic bomb at the University of Chicago, take a tour of the Main Quad and the “Sites of the Manhattan Project.” Use the “Ranger in Your Pocket” feature at the Atomic Heritage Foundation and find the University of Chicago at [www.atomicheritage.org](http://www.atomicheritage.org) Highly recommended!

### Week 2: Effects of Using Nuclear Weapons

John Hersey, *Hiroshima*, entire

*At the Brink*, Episode 8, Hibakusha: Survivors of the Bomb (podcast)

Wolfson and Dalnoki-Veress, chapter 13

Jeffrey Lewis, On the effects of Nuclear Weapons Detonations, *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists*. November 2025 <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=czykeqqgHQM>

Francois Diaz-Mauri, “Nowhere to Hide,” *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists*, October 20, 2022. [https://thebulletin.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/11/NowhereToHide\\_EnglishPDFTranslation-1.pdf?utm\\_source=Website&utm\\_medium=Article&utm\\_campaign=NowhereToHideEN\\_Gpdf](https://thebulletin.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/11/NowhereToHide_EnglishPDFTranslation-1.pdf?utm_source=Website&utm_medium=Article&utm_campaign=NowhereToHideEN_Gpdf)

### **Recommended**

Lesley M. M. Blume, “U.S. Nuclear Testing’s Devastating Legacy Lingers 30 years after Moratorium,” *National Geographic*, September 22, 2022  
<https://www.nationalgeographic.com/history/article/us-nuclear-testings-devastating-legacy-lingers-30-years-later?fbclid=IwAR2IsLcOpZg2GmA7a5cf63ik8lli8Qe1gXqynaOIwgtKd8X8vNjFa5fzOw>

Alex Wellerstein, Nukemap, <http://nuclearsecrecy.com/nukemap/>

### Week 3: Avoiding Nuclear War: Deterrence

Siracusa, *Nuclear Weapons*, chapter 5, 6, 7

Wolfson and Dalnoki-Veress, chapters 14, 15, 16, 17

Charles Glaser, James M. Acton, and Steve Fetter, “The U.S. Nuclear Arsenal Can Deter Both China and Russia,” *Foreign Affairs*, October 5, 2023  
<https://www.foreignaffairs.com/united-states/us-nuclear-arsenal-can-deter-both-china-and-russia>

Keir A. Lieber and Daryl G. Press, “The Return of Nuclear Escalation,” *Foreign Affairs*, November/December 2023.

<https://www.foreignaffairs.com/united-states/return-nuclear-escalation>

### **Recommended:**

Benoit Pelopidas, “A Bet Portrayed as a Certainty: Reassessing the Added Deterrent Value of Nuclear Weapons in *The War that Must Never be Fought: Dilemmas of Nuclear Deterrence*, ed. by George P. Schultz and James E. Goodby, Hoover Institution Press: Stanford CA

Tong Zhao, “Why the United States Should Discuss Mutual Nuclear Vulnerability with China,” pp. 71-81, in *U.S.-China Mutual Vulnerability: Perspectives on the Debate*, edited by David Santoro, *Pacific Forum*, May 2022.  
<https://pacforum.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/05/Issues-Insights-Vol.-22-SR-2.pdf>

### Week 4: Avoiding Nuclear War: Disarmament

Wolfson and Dalnoki-Veress, chapter 19

Beatrice Fihn (2017) The Logic of Banning Nuclear Weapons, *Survival*, 59:1, 43-50,  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00396338.2017.1282671>

Randy Rydell (2018) A Strategic Plan for Nuclear Disarmament: Engineering a Perfect Political Storm, *Journal for Peace and Nuclear Disarmament*, 1:1, 49-65, DOI: 10.1080/25751654.2017.1410386 <https://doi.org/10.1080/25751654.2017.1410386>

Shampa Biswas, “Nuclear Harms and Global Disarmament,” *The Age of Hiroshima*, eds. Michael D. Gordin and G. John Ikenberry (Princeton University Press, 2022)

James E. Doyle, “Inhumanity of Nuclear Deterrence,” *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists* 75:2, 85-91 <https://doi.org/10.1080/00963402.2019.1580893>

Sanders-Zakre, Alicia, “Nuclear Weapons Ban Treaty to Enter into Force,” <https://www.armscontrol.org/act/2020-11/features/nuclear-weapons-ban-treaty-enter-into-force-whats-next>

### Week 5: Avoiding Nuclear War: Arms Control and Restraint

Wolfson and Dalnoki-Veress, chapters 18, 20

Alexei Arbatov, “Saving Nuclear Arms Control, *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists*, April 2016 [www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/00963402.2016.1170393](http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/00963402.2016.1170393)

Rose Gottemoeller, “Rethinking Nuclear Arms Control,” *Washington Quarterly* (139-159) Published online: 15 Sep 2020 <https://doi.org/10.1080/0163660X.2020.1813382>

Nina Tannenwald, “Stigmatizing the Bomb: Origins of the Nuclear Taboo,” *International Security* Vol 29 Issue 4 Spring 2005, pp. 5-49 <https://direct.mit.edu/isec/article-abstract/29/4/5/11828/Stigmatizing-the-Bomb-Origins-of-the-Nuclear-Taboo?redirectedFrom=fulltext>

### WRITTEN ESSAY DUE FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 6

**Question: Should political leaders rely on deterrence to prevent nuclear war, but risk nuclear weapons use due to miscalculation, misperception, or accident, OR should they reduce nuclear weapons risk by strengthening treaties, norms of restraint, and disarmament, but risk nuclear weapons use if countries break out of international treaties and other agreements?**

## Week 6: Developing Civilian Nuclear Power

Wolfson and Dalnoki-Veress, chapters 6 and 7

**Recommended:** Wolfson and Dalnoki-Veress, chapter 11 on nuclear fusion technology

Leonard Weiss, “Atoms for Peace,” *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists*, November/December 2003, Vol. 59, No. 6, pp. 34-44 DOI: 10.2968/059006009  
<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/epdf/10.1080/00963402.2003.11460728?needAccess=true>

International Atomic Energy Agency, *Energy, Electricity and Nuclear Power Estimates for the Period up to 2050*. Vienna: IAEA.  
<https://www.iaea.org/publications/15942/energy-electricity-and-nuclear-power-estimates-for-the-period-up-to-2050>

Laura Rockwood, “How the IAEA Verifies if a Country’s Nuclear Program is Peaceful or Not: The Legal Basis,” *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists* 2015 Vol. 71:2  
<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00963402.2018.1507789>

## Week 7: Benefits of Nuclear Power

The Future of Nuclear Energy in a Carbon Constrained World. MIT, 2018.  
<https://energy.mit.edu/wp-content/uploads/2018/09/The-Future-of-Nuclear-Energy-in-a-Carbon-Constrained-World.pdf>

Elisabeth Eaves, “Can North America’s Advanced Nuclear Reactor Companies Help Save the Planet?” *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists* Vol. 73:1, 27-37, December 2016.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00963402.2016.1265353>

Alex Glaser and Robert Socolow, “Balancing Risks: Nuclear Energy and Climate Change,” *Daedalus*, Fall 2009, pp. 1-14  
[http://www.princeton.edu/~aglaser/2009aglaser\\_daedalus.pdf](http://www.princeton.edu/~aglaser/2009aglaser_daedalus.pdf)

## Week 8: Risks of Nuclear Power

Wolfson and Dalnoki-Veress, chapters 8, 9, 10

Svetlana Alexievich, *Voices of Chernobyl*, entire

Francois Diaz-Maurin, “Nuclear Expert Mycle Schneider on the Cop28 Pledge to Triple Nuclear Energy Production,” *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists*, December 18, 2023  
<https://thebulletin.org/2023/12/nuclear-expert-mycle-schneider-on-the-cop28-pledge-to-triple-nuclear-energy-production-trumpism-enters-energy-policy/>

Tatsujiro Suzuki, “Deconstructing the Zero-Risk Mindset: The Lessons and Future Responsibilities for a post-Fukushima Nuclear Japan, *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists*, 67:5, 9-18 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0096340211421477>

Allison Macfarlane, “It’s 2050: Do You Know Where Your Nuclear Waste Is?” *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists*, 2011. <https://DOI:10.1177/0096340211413357>

### Week 9: Public Opinion and Nuclear Policy

Paul Slovic (2012) The perception gap: Radiation and risk, *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists*, 68:3, 67-75. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0096340212444870>

Jonathan Baron and Stephen Herzog, “Public Opinion on Nuclear Energy and Nuclear Weapons: The Attitudinal Nexus in the United States,” *Energy Research and Social Science*, April 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2020.101567>

Maxime Polleri, Cameron Tracy, Elizabeta Likhucheva, Evgenia Stepnykh, “Improving Communication of Risks before, during and after Nuclear Accidents,” *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists*, August 27, 2020. <https://thebulletin.org/2020/08/improving-the-communication-of-risks-before-during-and-after-a-nuclear-accident/#post-heading>

### **WRITTEN ESSAY DUE FRIDAY, MARCH 6**

**Question: Should countries build more nuclear power plants to provide a major energy source without further disrupting the climate, but risk more accidents and proliferation of nuclear weapons to more countries, OR should they phase out nuclear power to prevent accidents and the further spread of nuclear weapons, but risk continued climate change?**