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Course Description

“With the unleashing of atomic energy, everything has changed save our way of thinking, and thus we drift toward catastrophe beyond comprehension.” Albert Einstein made this observation in 1953, when the United States and the Soviet Union were pitted against each other in the most dangerous arms race in history with the potential, at its peak, to destroy human civilization and lay waste to the planet. At the same time, the United States and the Soviet Union were also developing peaceful uses of nuclear energy for life-saving medical treatments and for generating electricity. While issues arising from technologies that have both military uses and civilian applications are not new, the nearly incomprehensible damage from nuclear weapons focuses the mind as few other dual-use technologies can.

This course will review the development of nuclear energy for both military and civilian uses. We will examine plans for fighting and avoiding nuclear war, as well as the effects on societies of using nuclear weapons. We will briefly review the history of the international proliferation of nuclear technology and material and explore efforts to curtail the spread of weapons. The second part of the course focuses on the development of civilian nuclear power, its benefits and risks, and on efforts to reduce accidents and dispose of nuclear waste materials. Finally, we will consider the role of citizens in nuclear policymaking.

The course is organized around in-class lectures, readings, videos and podcasts (to be found on Canvas), and small group discussions in a weekly three-hour format. Students will submit short essays, each based on the readings and lectures and in response to questions posed by the instructor.

Essays are due each Tuesday before the class session.
Course Readings

**Required** *(Please purchase the following books.)*


*Voices from Chernobyl*, Svetlana Alexievich. Translated by Antonina W. Bouis (Arum Press, 1999)

**Recommended**


*The Bomb: Presidents, Generals, and the Secret History of Nuclear War*, Fred Kaplan (Simon and Schuster, 2020)


*Midnight in Chernobyl*, Adam Higginbotham (Simon and Schuster, 2019)

All other course readings, articles, and materials listed in the syllabus are available on Canvas or through the University of Chicago online journals library.

**Consult these sites for additional information and useful analysis:**


*Arms Control Today* at [www.armscontrol.org](http://www.armscontrol.org)

International Atomic Energy Agency at [www.iaea.org](http://www.iaea.org)

Course Requirements

1. **Participation in class discussions will count for 25% of your grade.**

   Attending and preparing for weekly discussions is a priority.

2. **Eight essays will count for 70% of your grade.**

   Each student will write one essay per week of 500 to 600 words (about two pages double-spaced) based on the readings and lectures in response to a question posed by the instructor. **The first essay is due on January 4. The last essay is due on February 28.**

3. **Meeting with the instructor by zoom at least once during the quarter will count for 5% of your grade.**

   The instructor will meet with each student by zoom at a mutually convenient time at least once during the quarter to discuss essays, course material, and answer questions.

Grading

- Class participation: 25%
- Weekly Written essays: 70%
- Zoom meeting with instructor: 5%

ADA Student Accommodations

Any student who believes they may need assistance should inform the Harris Dean of Students office by the end of the first week of class. The Dean of Students office will coordinate any student accommodations with Harris instructors.

Academic Dishonesty: Statement and Penalties

All University of Chicago students are expected to uphold the highest standards of academic integrity and honesty. Among other things, this means that students shall not represent another’s work as their own, use un-allowed materials during exams, or otherwise gain unfair academic advantage. All students suspected of academic dishonesty will be reported to the Harris Dean of Students for investigation and adjudication. The disciplinary process can result in sanctions up to and including suspension or expulsion from the University. In addition to disciplinary sanctions, I will impose a grade penalty of “F” for students who have committed academic dishonesty. The Harris policy and procedures related to academic integrity can be found at [https://harris.uchicago.edu/gateways/current-students-policies](https://harris.uchicago.edu/gateways/current-students-policies). The University of Chicago Policy on Academic Honesty and Plagiarism can be found at [https://studentmanual.uchicago.edu/academic-policies/academic-honesty-plagiarism/](https://studentmanual.uchicago.edu/academic-policies/academic-honesty-plagiarism/)
Teaching and learning in person

This course is planned as an in-person experience, and students are expected to attend class at the Keller Center, with some exceptions as discussed below. Our practices and expectations include the following:

- Wearing face masks to prevent transmission of Covid-19 is optional. Please respect those who do wish to wear masks. If University guidance changes during the quarter, I will inform you about any new requirements.
- Please display your name tent every class so that I can more easily call on you by name.

That said, of course, public health and/or personal health circumstances vary across individual members of the University community and may change abruptly with limited notice. Students, TAs, and instructors may need to participate remotely for a short time. To guide expectations and plans, please note the following:

- **If you are experiencing COVID-19 symptoms or are required to isolate, please do not come to class.**
  - As soon as possible, contact me or the TA by email if you cannot attend class for this reason.
  - Students missing class for short spells during the term are encouraged to watch class on Zoom either live or via recordings of class sessions, and otherwise participate in class as fully as possible, health permitting.

- **If I find that I cannot teach in person at some point during the term, I will communicate this as soon as possible to all the relevant stakeholders, including students.**
  - Health permitting, I will teach remotely via Zoom on such occasions.
  - Students can attend class in the Keller Center but would participate via Zoom on such days. Students can also attend remotely from home (or any other location that is devoid of distractions).

**Video and Audio Recordings**

By attending course sessions, students acknowledge that:

i. They will not:
   (i) record, share, or disseminate University of Chicago course sessions, videos, transcripts, audio, or chats;
   (ii) retain such materials after the end of the course; or
   (iii) use such materials for any purpose other than in connection with participation in the course.

ii. They will not share links to University of Chicago course sessions with any persons not authorized to be in the course session. Sharing course materials with persons authorized to be in the relevant course is permitted. Syllabi, handouts, slides, and other
documents may be shared at the discretion of the instructor.

iii. Course recordings, content, and materials may be covered by copyrights held by the University, the instructor, or third parties. Any unauthorized use of such recordings or course materials may violate such copyrights.

iv. Any violation of this policy will be referred to the Area Dean of Students.

Using Artificial Intelligence or Large Language Models

This course places a premium on thinking through questions about the most destructive technology on Earth. It requires that you become familiar with the history of nuclear weapons, policies developed to prevent their use, and the moral consequences and ethical dilemmas that nuclear weapons and civilian nuclear power present. The required essays offer opportunities for students to think through some of these issues and to reflect on the readings, lectures, and class discussions over our nine weeks together. Therefore, use of tools like Chat GPT, Bard, and Llama 2, for example, are not compatible with the goals of the course and would interfere with student learning and may limit students’ understanding of the material. If you have questions about this approach, please contact me. If you would like help in crafting essays, please contact the Harris School Writing Workshop. https://harris.uchicago.edu/student-life/dean-of-students-office/writing-workshop
Course Outline: Readings and Assignments

Week 1: Introduction (Thursday, January 4, 2024 at 3:30 pm.)

PLEASE NOTE: This first class session is not at the regularly scheduled time. Classes will usually meet on Tuesdays from 3:30 to 6:20 pm.)

Joseph M. Siracusa, Nuclear Weapons: A Very Short Introduction, chapters 1, 2, 3, 4

Report of the Committee on Political and Social Problems to the U.S. Secretary of War, June 1945 (Also known as the Franck Report)
https://sgp.fas.org/eprint/franck.html

View the documentary The Day After Trinity by Jon Else
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xosmgrYF9K8

Richard Wolfson and Ferenc Dalnoki-Veress, Nuclear Choices for the Twenty-First Century, chapter 12.
For the basics of nuclear fission and radiation see chapters 2, 3, 4, 5

Special note: For the history of the origins of the atomic bomb at the University of Chicago, take a tour of the Main Quad and the “Sites of the Manhattan Project.” Use the “Ranger in Your Pocket” feature at the Atomic Heritage Foundation and find the University of Chicago at www.atomicheritage.org Highly recommended!

Essay Question (Due January 4): What considerations went into the decision to drop atomic bombs on Hiroshima and Nagasaki at the end of World War II? What issues worried the atomic scientists and what solutions did they propose?

Week 2: Effects of Using Nuclear Weapons (January 9)

John Hersey, Hiroshima, entire

At the Brink, Episode 8, Hibakusha: Survivors of the Bomb (podcast)

Wolfson and Dalnoki-Veress, chapter 13


Lesley M. M. Blume, “U.S. Nuclear Testing’s Devastating Legacy Lingers 30 years after Moratorium,” *National Geographic*, September 22, 2022

https://www.nationalgeographic.com/history/article/us-nuclear-testings-devastating-legacy-lingers-30-years-later?fbclid=IwAR2IsLcOpZg2GmA7a5cf63ik8ll8Qe1gXqynalOiwgtKd8X8vNjJa5fzOw

Alex Wellerstein, Nukemap, http://nuclearsecrecy.com/nukemap/

**Essay Question (Due January 9):** Given the large-scale destruction that nuclear weapons cause, under what conditions should they be used?

---

**Week 3: Avoiding Nuclear War: Deterrence (January 16)**

Siracusa, *Nuclear Weapons*, chapter 5, 6, 7

Wolfson and Dalnoki-Veress, chapters 14, 15, 17


*At the Brink*, Season 1, Episode 1, “Seek Immediate Shelter: Nuclear False Alarms” (podcast)


**Essay Question (Due January 16):** How did the massive destruction of nuclear bombs change war-fighting strategy? How does nuclear deterrence work?

---

**Week 4: Avoiding Nuclear War: Restraint and Disarmament (January 23)**

Wolfson and Dalnoki-Veress, chapters 18, 19, 20


Essay Question (Due January 23): Should political leaders rely on deterrence to prevent nuclear war, but risk nuclear weapons use due to miscalculation, misperception, or accident, or should they reduce nuclear weapons risk by strengthening treaties, norms of restraint, and international cooperation, but risk nuclear weapons use if countries break out of international treaties and agreements?

Week 5: Contemporary Challenges: Three-Way Arms Race, Conflict in Europe, Cyber and AI (January 30)


Listen to the podcast, *At the Brink*, Season 1, Episode 4, Modernizing Doomsday: The True Cost of Our Nuclear Arsenal


https://www.foreignaffairs.com/united-states/return-nuclear-escalation

Alexander K. Bollfrass and Stephen Herzog, “The War in Ukraine and Global Nuclear Order,” *Survival*, vol. 64, no. 4, August-September 2022 pp. 7-32
https://doi.org/10.1080/00396338.2022.2103255

Richard Clarke, Nuclear Weapons and Cyber Security

https://www.jstor.org/stable/resrep24515.8
Essay Question (Due January 30): How do current conflicts and technological developments challenge theories of nuclear deterrence, international norms of restraint, or the prospects for nuclear disarmament?

Week 6: Developing Civilian Nuclear Power (February 6)

Wolfson and Dalnoki-Veress, chapters 6 and 7

Recommended: Wolfson and Dalnoki-Veress, chapter 11 on nuclear fusion technology


Essay Question (Due February 6): What major obstacles do countries face in adopting and expanding nuclear power as a source of electricity?

Week 7: Benefits and Risks of Nuclear Energy (February 13)


https://doi.org/10.1080/00963402.2016.1265353

Svetlana Alexievich, Voices of Chernobyl, entire

Recommended: Adam Higginbotham, Midnight in Chernobyl (Simon and Schuster, 2019) (Also a six-part Netflix series based on the book, “Midnight in Chernobyl.”)

Essay Question (Due February 13): Will new safer plant designs reduce the costs and the risk of accidents enough to make nuclear power acceptable to the public?
Week 8: Controlling Nuclear Technologies and Materials (February 20)

Wolfson and Dalnoki-Veress, chapters 8, 9, 10


ESSAY QUESTION (Due February 20): Should countries build more nuclear power plants to provide a major energy source without further disrupting the climate, but risk more accidents and proliferation of nuclear weapons to more countries, or should they phase out nuclear power to prevent accidents and the further spread of nuclear weapons, but risk continued climate change?

Week 9: Public Opinion and Nuclear Policy (February 27)


Listen to At the Brink, Season 1, Episode 2: The Biscuit and the Football (podcast)


CHOOSE ONE QUESTION TO RESPOND TO IN YOUR ESSAY (DUE FEBRUARY 27)

1. Essay Question: Given the effects of nuclear weapons use on large numbers of people if nuclear deterrence fails, what role should citizens have in policymaking about nuclear weapons?

2. Essay Question: What role should citizens have in decisions about nuclear power? What information would they need to participate in policymaking?

Essay due February 27